

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/970,547	JORGENSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David L. Sorkin	1723	

All Participants:

(1) David L. Sorkin.

(2) John M. Garvey.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
not discussed

Claims discussed:
 1, 4-13, 15, 16, 18 and 19


Prior art documents discussed:
 Wahtola (US 599,273)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that, after entry of the after final amendment filed 17 August 2005, an examiner's amendment would be entered, canceling claims 5-7, 10, 16, 18 and 19, to facilitate allowance of the remaining claims which it was agreed were supported at least by the embodiment of Fig. 11. .